

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THOMAS BARNDT,
Plaintiff

V.

DR. STANLEY BOHINSKI, *et al.*,
Defendants

CIVIL NO. 3:09-CV-1790

ORDER

AND NOW, this 12th day of October, 2011, upon consideration of the thorough and thoughtful Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Carlson (Doc. 142), and full consideration of Plaintiff's objections to said Report (Doc. 143), it is ORDERED that said Report (Doc. 142) is approved.

As made clear in the Report, Plaintiff's contentions are a classic example of disagreements concerning the propriety of medical treatment rendered by a doctor to his patients, and we agree that Plaintiff's dissatisfaction with the treatment afforded him does not rise to the level of a constitutional violation for cruel and unusual punishment. We also agree that Defendant Bohinski is entitled to immunity, as outlined and discussed in the Report.

Pursuant to Judge Carlson's Recommendations, it is ORDERED that Defendant Bohinski's motion for summary judgment (Doc. 131) is GRANTED.

Judgment is entered in favor of Defendant Bohinski and against Plaintiff.

/s/ William W. Caldwell
William W. Caldwell
United States District Judge